



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was told that claim 34 as currently construed belong to invention groups different from the elected group drawn to nucleic acids, and given a chance to amend claim 34 to reelect the election filed on 12/20/2001 or alternatively claim 34 would be withdrawn from further examination because the prosecution history indicates claim 34 was interpreted as drawn to nucleic acids of the base claims it depends on. Applicant declined to amend the claim .